

Request for Proposal ACFS 21-001 Iowa Child Abuse Prevention Program (ICAPP) Request for Grantee Project Proposals

December 27, 2019 – Respondent Questions and Agency Responses:

This document includes all questions that have been received by the Agency in accordance with the Request for Proposal (RFP) section 2.7, Questions, Request for Clarification, and Suggested Changes. The Agency response to these questions has also been provided

	Question	Agency Response	RFP Section / Page(s)		
	Which of the approved Parent Development and Home Visitation Program Models require developer affiliation or accreditation?	Affiliation and accreditation requirements are determined by model developer, or in the case of the lowa Family Support Credential, the lowa Family Support Technical Assistance Network.	Attachment O (1), Project Evidence Scoring Tool		
1		It is the Bidder's responsibility to determine affiliation, accreditation, or credentialing requirements for whatever model/program they have proposed.			
		See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 4, and Attachment O(1), amended 12-18-2019 (tab labeled "Instructions").			
2	The RFP definitions states, "Contractor Designee" or "Designated Contractor" means a Legal Entity that has been designated by the Community-Based Volunteer Coalition or	Yes, this designation is made on Attachment G, ICAPP Project Proposal Cover Sheet, Contractor Designation, and Checklist.	Section 1.2 RFP Definitions, Page 13		
	Council, through Attachment H of this RFP, to enter into a Contract directly as a result of an award. Iowa Administrative Code, r. 441-	Please see Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 2.			

	155.4 states, specifically that, "in order to receive funding from the department, community councils must be legal entities or must designate a Legal Entity to receive the Project funds directly (e.g., a local service provider)." Attachment H is the council membership. In this definition are you referring to Attachment G?		
3	Are the maximum bid request amounts listed in the RFP for one year of the contract or for the original two years of the contract? Eg. If your maximum bid request amount is \$30,000, is that \$30,000 for each year of the original contract for a total for two years of \$60,000 or is the maximum total meant to be for the first two years of the contract so only \$30,000?	Maximum award amounts listed in the RFP are per State Fiscal Year (SFY). Section 3.3.1 Pricing Restrictions. Table header lists Maximum <i>Annual</i> Award Amounts by County and coincides with Attachment J: Maximum Allowable Funds by County (for each SFY). See Definitions for State Fiscal Year.	Section 3.3.1 Pricing Restrictions Attachment J: Maximum Allowable Funds by County, Page 62 Definitions, Page 13
4	Can a parent development program be delivered 100% through home-based services?	See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 5. Yes, the direct service portion of a Parent Development Project may be delivered entirely through home-based services. See Section 1.3.1.1.2 Core Service Deliverables, A (2), which states: "These services may be delivered in group settings, public locations, or Participant homes." In addition to core service delivery, all Projects shall include Community Collaboration Deliverables (Section 1.3.1.1.1).	Section 1.3.1.1.2 Scope of Work, Page 14-15
5	Are there additional funds to purchase evidence-based curriculum?	Curriculum is an allowable expense under this RFP. It is the responsibility of the Bidder to factor in all Project expenses, including costs associated with purchasing curriculum, if applicable, when completing their Budget. Other funding is outside the scope of this RFP.	Section 3.3.1 Section 3.3.2, Page 34 (materials)

	How will DAISEY be updated to include information for children older than age six?	Updates to the Iowa Family Support Statewide Database (DAISEY) are outside the scope of this RFP.	Section 1.4 Performance Measures
6		The capability exists for programs to enter Child profiles in DAISEY for all children, regardless of age. Though only children 0-5 are reflected in the reporting dashboard feature, the system captures data for all child profiles.	
		Additional information about DAISEY can be found via the link on pg. 22 of the RFP: https://daiseyiowa.daiseysolutions.org/articles/iowa-data-dictionary/	
7	Who signs this attachment [Attachment B: Primary Bidder Detail and Certification Form]? Would it be the Community Based Volunteer Coalition/Council Representative, or the	The entity listed on Attachment G, Section II shall complete and sign Attachment B. See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 4.	Section 3.2, Page 31
8	Contractor Designee/Legal Entity? Who signs this attachment [Attachment E: Certification and Disclosure Regarding Lobbying]? Would it be the Community Based Volunteer Coalition/Council Representative, the Contractor Designee/Legal Entity, or the	The entity listed on Attachment G, Section II shall complete and sign Attachment E. See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 4.	Section 3.2, Page 31
9	Sub-Contractor? Who signs this attachment [Attachment I: Minority Impact Statement]? Would it be the Community Based Volunteer Coalition/Council Representative, the Contractor Designee/Legal Entity, or the Sub-Contractor?	The entity listed on Attachment G, Section II shall complete and sign Attachment I. See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 4.	Section 3.2, Page 31
10	Who signs as the <i>Potential ICAPP</i> CONTRACTOR? Would it be the Community	This depends on who is the identified Contractor on Attachment G, Section II. Please see definition for "Contractor" pg. 10 and "Contractor Designee" pg. 11. Use of the word "potential" here is to indicate the	Attachment R: Acknowledgment of Partnership – section: Potential ICAPP CONTRACTOR

		agreement is contingent upon an award.	
		Additionally, see Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 4 for further clarification.	
11	We intend to apply for funds for both Mahaska and Wapello counties. Page 61 of the RFP indicates that Mahaska County is eligible to request up to \$50,000 and Wapello County is eligible to request up to \$150,000. Since we are applying on behalf of both countiesdo we apply separately, that is two applications as a single contractor for each maximum OR only one application as a single contractor and will be limited to the Wapello capped amount of \$150,000 and it includes both counties? This is what we would like to do, but the M1 form doesn't allow us to check both home visiting and parent education boxes. We want to be able to request funding in Mahaska County for Home Visitation (PAT) and SAP services with the \$50,000 maximum. Then we would like to request funding for Parent Development (only – no HV) in Wapello County and SAP services with up to the \$70,000 maximum. We also intent to apply for the Resilient Communities Demonstration Project funds for Wapello County (\$80,000 or more and adjust the PDev & SAP funding as needed).	Application funding limits are based on the total amount per county. If the same Bidder represents two counties, the total maximum is the sum of both counties and the budget shall indicate funding by county. Bidders shall select one Project type for each Bid Proposal (i.e., Home Visitation, or Parent Development, or Sexual Abuse Prevention). Please see question 12 as it relates to limits on number and types of Project submissions.	Section 3.3.1 Pricing Restrictions, Page 33
	Should we just complete M1 separately / one for each Mahaska County (PAT-HV) and Wapello County (PDev)? I know we can		
	complete one M2 for SAP services to include both counties and M3 for only Wapello		

	County.		
12	a. Is the Council (Bidder) able to write for two Parent Education programs? Example 1: Council will sub-contract with two separate entities. In-home parents education ages 0-5 (Iowa Family Support Credential) and In-home parent education program for school age children. Example 2: Council will sub-contract with two separate entities. In-home parents education ages 0-5 (Iowa Family Support Credential) and a Group Based Parent Education program? Example 3: Council will sub-contract with one entity b. The in-home family support program, the program also provides group based parent education at a Nest program and for Promise Jobs. Can this be included in one application?	a. Yes, per Section 3.2 of the RFP, a Bidder may submit for two Parent Development programs. Activities may be applied for: Example A: Separately in two different Proposals (i.e., one full application with all forms for each, meeting the total Proposal limit); OR Example B: Together in one Parent Development Project Bid Proposal that includes multiple different components and subcontractors as part of one Project. In this case, the Bidder is still limited to one Project Proposal form (M1 in the case of Parent Development). Funded Projects under Example A above would result in TWO Contracts between the Council/Designated Contractor(s). Funded Projects in Example B above would result in one single Contract between the Council/Designated Contractor and the Agency, regardless of whether the Project has multiple components and/or if the Contractor is subcontracting services to one or more entities. Contract performance in Example B above will be monitored for the Project as a whole vs. the separate components and/or subcontractors. b. Yes, this is an example of the Example B scenario above.	Section 1.3.1.1.2 Core Service Deliverables (Depending on Project Type) Page 14 Section 3.2, Contents and Organization of Technical Proposal, Page. 31
13	Is this [Section 1.3.1.1.3(B) Community Needs Assessment/Strategic Plan and Stakeholder Input] only for the Resilient Communities?	Resilient Communities Demonstration Project is one type of Project funded under this RFP. All Scope of Work Deliverables under this Section of the RFP (Section 1.3.1.1.3) are relevant to the Resilient	Communities Demonstration Projects (Select High Risk

14	Stakeholder group: Will focus group type questions be provided for the workgroup to gather input?	Communities Demonstration Projects. This includes RFP content between Section 1.3.1.1.3 (page 16-18) and 1.3.1.2 Reporting Requirements (page 18). Deliverables under this Section (1.3.1.1.3) do not apply to other core service types. Resilient Communities awardees may request technical assistance from the Program Administrator; however, the technical assistance will not dictate specific questions for focus groups. Contractors shall determine community needs and tailor Projects accordingly.	Counties) B. Community Needs Assessment/Strategic Plan and Stakeholder Input - Page 17 Section 1.3.1.1.3 Resilient Communities Demonstration Projects (Select High Risk Counties) B. Community Needs Assessment/Strategic Plan and Stakeholder Input - Page 17
15	Will the workgroup be provided the specific data points to collect and review, that will be included in the strategic plan?	Resilient Communities awardees may request technical assistance from the Program Administrator; however, the technical assistance will not dictate specific data sources to be used in developing a needs assessment or strategic plan. Contractors shall determine community needs and tailor Projects accordingly.	Section 1.3.1.1.3 Resilient Communities Demonstration Projects (Select High Risk Counties) B. Community Needs Assessment/Strategic Plan and Stakeholder Input - Page 17
16	Definition of "Project" means the individual Projects funded under the Iowa Child Abuse Prevention Program as a result of this RFP. Using the definition of Project provided in the RFP, will this be a requirement of each individual Project funded (example: Sexual Abuse Prevention and Home Visitation) and not the Council that applied for the funds? So if the Council is the Bidder and is awarded funding for Sexual Abuse Prevention and Home Visitation each of these sub-contractors of the Council would need to have separate Stakeholder Groups and submit a Strategic	Parent Development, Home Visitation and Sexual Abuse Prevention Projects are not required to have stakeholder groups separate from the Community Based Coalition or Council. Parent Development, Home Visitation and Sexual Abuse Prevention Projects are not required to complete a needs assessment or strategic plan. Specific Activities outlined under 1.3.1.1.3 includes Scope of Work requirements for Bidders applying in the category of Resilient Communities Demonstration Projects. This category is restricted to the identified High-Risk Communities.	Section 1.3.1.1.3 Resilient Communities, Page 16

	Plan?		
17	I have a question on the Parent Education/Home Visiting Scoring on Attachment O. It asks "Name of Applicant Organization" Would this be the Council or the subcontractor who will be carrying out the program	The entity listed on Attachment G, Section II shall complete and sign this form. See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 4.	Attachment O (1), Project Evidence Scoring Tool
18	Can the Adult component in SAP include high school student efforts? Or is the adult component supposed to be the parents of children?	See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 3.	Section 1.3.1.1.2 Core Services Deliverables (Depending on Project Type), Page 15
19	If an organization wants to bid for the same services in more than one county, do they have to submit different proposals, or can they combine the counties into one proposal?	Council structure, including organization of Councils to cover one or multiple counties, is left to the discretion of the Council. Councils covering multiple counties may elect to propose services covering one, some, or all of the counties they cover.	RFP Purpose, Bidder Eligibility Requirements, Page 5
		Organizations providing services that include multiple Council service areas may be included in multiple Council Bid Proposals. Proposals shall be aligned by Council service areas, not Provider/Subcontractor service areas.	
20	Which name needs to appear in the Proposal blanks labeled Bidder (Council)? Attachment B has a place for "Legal Name" as well as "Doing Business As name," however, other pages just list a place for Bidder (Council).	The entity listed on Attachment G, Section II shall complete and sign this form. See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 4.	Section 3.2, Contents and Organization of Technical Proposal, Page 31
21	When submitting an amended MOU with the bid proposal, where should it be organized in the proposal?	Amended MOUs shall be included behind Attachment G. See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, Revision 8.	Section 3.2, Contents and Organization of Technical Proposal, Page 31 Attachment G, Page 56
22	We primarily use <i>The Incredible Years</i> for our home visiting program. Is there a secondary grant requirement that our program and/or staff be accredited or certified through <i>The</i>	Accreditation is not a requirement for funding, however, Projects proposing to utilize Evidence-Based Programming (i.e., identifying their program as Evidence-Based) shall provide documentation to verify	Attachment O (1), Project Evidence Scoring Tool

	Incredible Years?	the Project is using the identified Program/Model as	
	We are contified via the Council on	intended and meet minimum requirements of the	
	We are certified via the Council on Accreditation- Family Support Alternate	model (e.g., staff qualifications, dosage, target audience, etc.)	
	designation, and ALL Family Support Workers		
	are Certified Family Development Specialists	Projects not yet completing an accreditation, affiliation	
	via the University of Iowa's National Resource	or other certification process may submit	
	Center for Family Centered Practice.	documentation verifying the present status of their Program's progress towards meeting required	
	Additionally, our Iowa Family Support	standards.	
	Credentialing application has been approved,		
	and we will begin that accreditation process in January, 2020 (we will NOT have the official	See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 10. Attachment O (1) now includes an additional	
	IFSC Accreditation by this grant application	"instructions" tab.	
	deadline).		
	It's just not clear to me if we also need to be certified via the actual <i>The Incredible Years</i>		
	curriculum in order to be awarded the 4		
	possible points on Attachment O(1).		
	Can I share with the community committee	It is the responsibility of the Council/Coalition to	
	that will be voting on 2 projects out of the 3	determine the method for selecting which local Project	
	that want to put in bids, that one is not	Proposals to put forward in response to this RFP.	
	certified/accredited in the program they are		
23	submitting a bid for?		
	That is probably a question I should be able to		
	sufficiently answer, but I want to make sure I		
	am ethical in my responses and how I go about this RFP. Especially for my first time		
	around.		
	As a group-based parent development	Yes. Attachment O(1) was developed to identify one	Attachment O (1), Project
24	program, we utilize multiple curricula	or multiple Programs/Models.	Evidence Scoring Tool
	throughout the year. Is it okay to check more than one "program" on Attachment O(1)?		

25	If we have community events, focus groups, or meetings for the Resilient Communities project, can we purchase food with the money? If so, which section would this be listed under on the budget form?	Utilizing funds to purchase food could be considered allowable under multiple expense categories including, but not limited to: Incentives, if providing a meal is intended to entice participation Travel, if meals are purchased for individuals traveling (see https://das.iowa.gov/state-accounting/travel-relocation for state policies and procedures related to travel related reimbursements) Training, if meals are necessary in order to attend training Supplies, if meals are necessary and reasonable related to the Project objective. Universal federal guidelines. Pg. 32 https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?node=pt45.1.75 State guidelines on travel cost. Pg. 71 https://das.iowa.gov/state-accounting/travel-relocation	Page 32, Code of Federal regulations https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?node=pt45.1.75 Sample Contract Section 1.3.3.7, Page 71
26	RFP Page 15 of 89, Home Visiting Services: This section states "To be eligible for inclusion in this category, a Project must utilize one of the models listed on the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families' Home Visiting Evidence of Effectiveness website" 'The Incredible Years' program does not appear to be listed, but it is listed on Attachment O (1) Project Scoring tool. If a home visitation program plans to use 'The Incredible Years' program will they met the requirement above, from page 15?	The Home Visitation category is limited to models on the HRSA list which can be found here: https://mchb.hrsa.gov/maternal-child-health-initiatives/home-visiting-overview Home-based service Projects using a Model not found on the HRSA list are eligible to apply in the Parent Development category. Attachment O(1) is used for scoring on both Home Visitation and Parent Development.	Section 1.3.1.1.2 Core Service Deliverables, A(1), Home Visiting Services Attachment O (1), Project Evidence Scoring Tool

27	RFP Attachment O (1) Project Scoring tool: a. If a home visiting program proposes to use 'The Incredible Years' program, do they need to be certified in the program? b. Do they need to be certified in 'The Incredible Years' program to choose the option of "Well Supported Programs" on Attachment O(1)? c. If they are not certified in 'The Incredible Years' program should they choose "Other" Model/program curricula?	a. Home visitation Projects are limited to models approved by HRSA https://mchb.hrsa.gov/maternal-child-health-initiatives/home-visiting-overview b. Please see response to Question 1. c. Please see Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 10.	Attachment O (1), Project Evidence Scoring Tool
28	ATTACHMENT O (1): Project Evidence Scoring Tool (Home Visitation) In Attachment O (1) of the application materials, acceptable programs/curricula are listed on the scoring tool. The Sub-Contractor primarily uses The Incredible Years for their home visiting program. Is the Sub-Contractor required to have the program and/or staff be accredited or certified through The Incredible Years? The Sub-Contractor is certified via the Council on Accreditation- Family Support Alternate designation, and ALL Family Support Workers are Certified Family Development Specialists via the University of Iowa's National Resource Center for Family Centered Practice.	See answer to questions 1, 22, 26, and 27. Attachment O(1) Promising Programs listing "Programs following lowa Family Support Credential" include those awarded the lowa Family Support Credential or those awarded the Alternate lowa Family Support Credential. For additional information on the Alternate lowa family Support Credential which addressed COA (Council on Accreditation) accredited programs, see: http://lsiowa.org/ifstan/about-the-alternate-iowa-family-support-credential Project Evidence scoring is limited to evidence of effectiveness of the program/model. It does not consider provider experience and/or training independent of model/program use, therefore, training certifications not associated with an evidence-based program/model do not fall within the scope of this scored component.	Attachment O (1), Project Evidence Scoring Tool
29	Home Visiting Services - If an applicant is an approved curriculum user for Parents as Teachers but is not an affiliate of the Parents as Teachers model can they apply to use the	See response to question 22. See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 10. Attachment O (1) now includes an additional	Section 1.3.1.1.2 Core Service Deliverables – A. Family Support Services, Page 14 & 15

	Parents as Teachers model or if they also have the Iowa Family Support Credential should they apply as a program following the Iowa Family Support Credential instead?	"instructions" tab.	
30	Can you clarify that funds in years 3-5 may be shifted to <u>any</u> allowable ICAPP service as long as that service is identified in the strategic plan and that that service does <u>not</u> have to be a service that is currently funded through an ICAPP core services grant?	Resilient Communities Projects that shift funds in years 3-5 to direct services may use funds to support services that are allowable under Core Services as listed in this RFP. Funding for direct services shall be in alignment with the Strategic Plan and is independent of other Contracts awarded under this RFP.	Section 1.3.1.1.3 Resilient Communities Demonstration Project – D. Implementation of Strategic Plan, Page 18
31	Can you clarify/expand on the parent leadership advisory component, for example is there a specific number of parents expected to participate, what does the advisory role look like, what does the leadership role look like? What is the role of the parent leadership advisory component in the project leadership team?	The Scope of Work is not prescriptive how Contractors shall engage parents to provide leadership. It is the responsibility of the Bidder to identify how they intend to engage parents, including number of parents, how parents will be involved in decision-making, and their role with the Project.	Section 1.4 Performance Measures – Performance Measure Area 2 – Community Collaboration and Stakeholder Engagement – PM2 Stakeholder Representation and Meeting Frequency, Page 20
32	 Where it states "ICAPP Contractor" does this mean the Eligible Bidder the Designated Contractor in the event the eligible bidder is not a legal entity or the Sub-contractor providing the service as it relates to the partnership in question 	The ICAPP Contractor refers to the entity that, if awarded, will be party to a Contract under this Procurement. If the Council will hold the Contract, the Council is considered the Contractor. If there is a Designated Contractor, the Designated Contractor is considered the Contractor. See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 4 page 31; and 1.2 Definitions, "Contractor" and "Designated Contractor" page 10-11.	Attachment R: Acknowledgement of Partnership Page 31 Definitions, page 10-11
33	With regards to building capacity for future services, can trainings be included in the	Training is an allowable expense.	Section 1.3.1.1, D Implementation of

	proposal for Building Resilient Communities?		Strategic Plan, page 18
34	If a legal entity charges an indirect rate, should the project also include their agency indirect rate in the budget?	Projects are limited to 15% of the total award to apply towards Indirect Costs under this RFP, See 3.3.1 Pricing Restrictions. All Indirect Costs shall be included in the section of the Budget for Indirect Costs	Section 3.3.11, page 32
35	Recognizing that guidelines for both the lowa Family Support Credential and Council on Accreditation (COA) are the same for family support programs, will programs currently accredited by COA be granted a Promising Rating of "2"; similar to lowa Family Support credentialed programs? We have received prior guidance that the lowa Family Support Credential is not intended to supersede our National Credential with the Council on Accreditation. For reference: http://lsiowa.org/ifstan/ "Promising program" means a program that meets all of the following requirements: (a) The program conforms to a clear, consistent family support model that has been in existence for at least three years; (b) The program is grounded in relevant empirically-based knowledge; (c) The program is linked to program-determined outcomes; (d) The program is associated with a national or state organization that either has comprehensive program standards that ensure high-quality service delivery and continuous program quality improvement or the program model has demonstrated through the program has achieved significant positive outcomes equivalent to those achieved by	Attachment O(1) Promising Programs listing "Programs following lowa Family Support Credential (IFSC)" includes both: • Programs awarded the lowa Family Support Credential and; • Programs awarded the Alternate lowa Family Support Credential. A process exists for the lowa Family Support Technical Assistance Network to award Alternate IFSC to Programs that have been credentialed by an outside accrediting body. This is not an automatic process, and Programs identifying evidence-level as Promising through the lowa Family Support Credential shall have been awarded the IFSC or outline a proposed process for attaining the IFSC. See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, Attachment O (1) amended 12-18-2019.	Attachment O (1) (also page 13 of original "RFP with all attachments" document) and page 71 of the "IA Child Maltreatment Prevention Needs Assessment 2017"

	program models with published significant and sustained results in a peer-reviewed journal; and (e) The program has been awarded the lowa family support credential and has been reviewed onsite at least every five years to ensure the program's adherence to the lowa family support standards approved by the early childhood lowa state board created in section 2561.3 or a comparable set of standards. The onsite review is completed by an independent review team that is not associated with the program or the organization administering the program".		
36	Would communities implementing the "Nurturing Parenting Program" 0-12 still score a "Promising-2"?	Affiliation and accreditation requirements are determined by model developer, or in the case of the lowa Family Support Credential, the lowa Family Support Technical Assistance Network. It is the Bidder's responsibility to determine affiliation, accreditation, or credentialing requirements for model/program proposed. See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, revision 4, and Attachment O(1), amended 12-18-2019 (Instructions).	Attachment O (1), Project Evidence Scoring Tool
37	If subcontractors are not receiving indirect costs do they need to include that in their cost per family?	Proposals shall include all Project costs in their budget, including any Indirect Costs supporting the Project. All Indirect Costs shall be included in the budget and any estimated cost per unit (i.e., student, session, implementation). For example, if a fiscal agent charges 5% of the total award to provide fiscal agent services for a Project that is an associated Project cost and shall be factored into the total Project	Attachment M, Question #9

		Budget.	
	We understand that if we apply for Sexual Abuse Prevention then we can submit only one Parent Development application.	That is correct, Bidders are limited to a total of two Core Services Proposals. Proposals may include multiple components, as	Section 3.2, Contents and Organization of Technical Proposal, page 31
38	Our question is whether we can have two programs in that application. For example,	described in this scenario.	
	can the application include Program 1 providing home visitation services with Curriculum A and Program 2 providing home visitation services with Curriculum B? I believe we would need to indicate how much	Proposal submission with multiple components shall include both components into <u>one</u> Bid Proposal with <u>one</u> Attachment M, and <u>one</u> Attachment N: Project Budget.	
	of the proposal is done by each Program/Curriculum, but want to be sure this is OK.	If awarded, the Project would be covered under one Contract between the Bidder/Contractor and the Agency.	
3	You are requesting the "Name of Applicant Organization" Are you referring to the Bidder/Contractor? Or the Subcontractor carrying out the services?	See Second Amendment to ACFS 21-001, Revision 10 Attachment O(1) Amended 12-18-2019.	Attachment O (1), Project Evidence Scoring Tool
4	The grant is asking to provide our projected service numbers for this projectby "service numbers", are you referring to number of people reached, number of events, number impacted, etc.?	Bidders have discretion in identifying the specific activities and outputs under the Community Development component of their Project. Persons reached, number of events, number impacted would all be potential examples of outputs under this component.	Attachment M(1),Section 1, #3 Under Community Development